

## SUMMARY OF 205 PARK AVE PROPOSALS AND DMNA SURVEY

*Information gathered from the portions of the proposals that developers have made publicly available and the DMNA survey conducted 12/2015 – 1/2016.  
Refer to the DMNA 205 Park Matrix, DMNA 205 Park Survey Summary, & developer proposals for more information.*

	SHERMAN PROPOSAL	GRAND RE PROPOSAL	DMNA SURVEY
<b>HEIGHT/ MATERIALS</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 6 Stories</li> <li>• Wood frame, concrete base</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 to 6 Stories</li> <li>• Concrete</li> </ul>	<p>Among respondents within 0-4 blocks of the site:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 29% favored 5-6 stories</li> <li>• 34% favored fewer than 5-6 stories</li> <li>• 37% favored more than 5-6 stories</li> </ul>
<b>DESIGN</b>	Refer to developer proposal.	Refer to developer proposal.	Through comments, respondents reported that high quality design and fit with the historic character of the neighborhood were important.
<b>MARET RATE (MR) RESIDENTIAL UNITS</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 91 MR Rental Units</li> <li>• 4 Market Rate For-Sale Townhomes</li> </ul>	42-52 MR For-Sale Units	Majority of respondents reported support for condos and rentals. However, significantly more respondents supported condos (85% v. 54%) and to a higher degree (self-reported, numeric scale).

## SUMMARY OF 205 PARK AVE PROPOSALS AND DMNA SURVEY

*Information gathered from the portions of the proposals that developers have made publicly available and the DMNA survey conducted 12/2015 – 1/2016.  
Refer to the DMNA 205 Park Matrix, DMNA 205 Park Survey Summary, & developer proposals for more information.*

	SHERMAN PROPOSAL	GRAND RE PROPOSAL	DMNA SURVEY
<b>AFFORDABLE RESIDENTIAL UNITS</b>	24 Affordable Rental Units (60% AMI)	Working with City of Lakes Land Trust to set aside some affordable, owner-occupied units	<b>Affordable Ownership:</b> More supporters than opponents. <b>Affordable Rental:</b> Similar number of supporters and opponents. However, the expressed degree of opposition was stronger than the expressed degree of support (self-reported, numeric scale).
<b>RETAIL</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 7,400 SF split between corners of Washington/Park &amp; Park/2nd</li> <li>• Kim Bartman Restaurant/Bakery (Letter of Intent submitted)</li> <li>• US Bank ATM (Letter of Intent submitted)</li> <li>• Police substation (MPD commitment unconfirmed)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2,900 SF on Washington Ave</li> <li>• YUM has expressed strong interest</li> </ul>	Of the listed options, a restaurant, closely followed by retail, received the highest average score on the support v. opposition scale.
<b>PUBLIC AMENITIES</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Public dog relief area, bike parking, landscaping, outdoor seating on retail corners</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Public dog relief area, bike parking, landscaping, outdoor seating on retail corners</li> </ul>	Landscaping, followed by outdoor seating, public art, bike parking scored the highest. 75% of respondents agreed that on site dog relief is important